Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,990	MOSELEY, ANDREW P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tho D. Ta	2833	
All Participants:	: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Tho D. Ta</u> .	(3)	:	
(2) <u>Donald J. Perreault</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 July 2004	Time: <u>AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1 and 15			
Prior art documents discussed: US 5,489,222			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Attorney agreed to the changes indicate in examiner's amendment in order to define the axial direction of the spur.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
,			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/ord Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)	